UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,169	12/16/2005	Serge Gidon	126304	1993
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 04/09/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	GIESY, ADAM		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	0/561,169 GIDON, SERGE		
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ADAM R. GIESY	2627	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>ADAM R. GIESY</u> .	(3) <u>Richard A. Castellano</u> .		
(2) Wayne R. Young.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>03 April 2009</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Vettiger.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ℚ	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Examiner agrees that the fixed DIRECTLY to the support substrate - that in fact, they claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the amount of the vettiger of the amount of the vettiger of the amount of the vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejections were discussed in relation to the Vettiger of the claim rejection to the Vettiger of the Vett	e Vettiger reference does not so y are attached to the support so reference as well as Chen et an elements which the examiner agroup of the amendments that we do.)  ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Relast Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	show that the micesubstrate via a cast. (USPN 6,542,4) reed would rend would render the substrance (or been filed, APP or DAYS FROM 1) WHICHEVER IS	ero-tips are antilever. All 400).  er the claims claims  CF THE PLICANT IS FHIS S LATER, TO
/Adam R. Giesy/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627	/Wayne R. Young/ SPE, Art Unit 2627		

Application No.

Applicant(s)